

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/295,431	Applicant(s) YOSHIMURA ET AL.
	Examiner Michael P. Mooney	Art Unit 2877

All Participants:

(1) Michael P. Mooney.

(2) Atty. Hal Yeager (Reg. No. 35,419).

Date of Interview: 4 May 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Status of Application: Post-PTO-37 Printer Query Response Changes

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: after noon

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the ~~Notice of Allowability~~ **PTO-90C**.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

mem/
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Frank L. Ford
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Atty. Yeager agreed to supply an Abstract and Serial number/title information that was requested in the Printer Rush (Query) filed 2/6/04 on eDan. The content of the changes submitted by Atty. Yeager is contained in Miscellaneous Office Letter/PTO-90 that was submitted simultaneously with this Summary..
